BIRTH AND DEATH REGISTRATION IN THE PHILIPPINES

FELISA R. BARRETTO*

Birth and death are the two most important events in life worth recording. A world without birth or death record is inconceivable, so much so that in the near future, the world without complete registration of births and deaths will also seem unthinkable.

Birth and death records are legal as well as statistical documents. The true relationship of their uses, legal and statistical, involves two powerful sets of interests. The first is rooted in the necessity of protecting the rights and ensuring the privileges of an individual while the second interest arises from the urgent needs of public health for statistics which will measure the extent of health problems and the progress in public health programmes.

However, in recent years, these interests have been centered more in improving the statistics available for public health rather than in the use of the vital records as legal documents. Since the interest to improve vital statistics comes from public health, it is but natural that attempts to obtain better vital statistics will first require the improvement of the registration of these vital events.

Probably, no vital statistical reporting system now existing is completely accurate with respect to the degree by which events are reported; the degree of correctness of information provided for in each event; and more on the degree of coverage.

The Bureau of the Census and Statistics, therefore, in an effort to improve the registration of vital events in the Philippines undertook a survey in October 1964 to measure the

Land harrier of the city

^{*}Chief Statistician, Business Division, Bureau of the Census and Statistics.

birth and death registration completeness in the different areas of the country.

This was a special inquiry taken in addition to the October 1964 round of the labor force survey of the Philippine Statistical Survey of Households.

The results of this special inquiry will be the basis for an extensive educational campaign to be undertaken by the Bureau of the Census and Statistics to improve registration of vital events. The data gathered will also help in the proper implementation of the Civil Registry Law. Furthermore, this survey may represent an important benchmark in the study of birth and death registration problems in the Philippines.

History of birth and death registration in the Philippines¹

Before the Spaniards came to the Philippines, there were no means of keeping records of births and deaths. However, there seemed to be some evidences of a method of keeping records but these records pertained only to the fighting strength of localities which was necessary during that period when tribal wars were frequent.

During the Spanish rule, there appeared to be no evidence on record that any of the laws and the numerous decrees issued concerned civil registry. At that time, the church and the state were inseparable. The various religious orders of the Catholic church maintained full records of baptisms and burials. The Church required every parish priest to keep a book in which records of baptisms and burials were kept. These were preserved in the parochial archives.

The principal change came with the establishment of a civil registry for the first time in the country on December 8

^{1 &}quot;Manual on Civil Registration and Vital Statistics", Bureau of the Census and Statistics, Manila, 1957.

1889 when the Civil Code of Spain was extended to the Philippines by a royal decree. But this reform was of short duration.

After the Philippine Revolution in 1898, the independent Government promulgated a decree on June 18, 1898, organizing the towns, and a set of rules and regulations in connection with the registration of births and deaths was proclaimed. The Delegate of Justice and Civil Registration assisted by the Chief of the Pueblo prepared two books on births and deaths. In one book, the Delegate entered the birth in chronological order stating the name of the child, the place and date of birth and the name and domicile of the godfather, who had to sign the entry as a witness together with the Chief. In the other book, death was recorded specifying the name, profession, marital condition, domicile of the deceased and the disease from which he died.

During the American occupation, changes were brought about in the recording of these events. In 1901, Act No. 82 known as the Municipal Law, was enacted by the Philippine Commission with the provision that the municipal secretary of each municipality keep a civil register for the municipality in which records of all births and deaths with their respective dates were entered. It also instructed the physicians and midwives residing within the limits of the municipality to forward to the municipal secretary notification of every birth and death that occurred under his or her professional observation, together with the necessary information for proper entry in the civil registry book. By virtue of such provisions, the civil registers were kept in every municipality under the care of the municipal secretary.

It was only on March 8, 1922, by virtue of Act No. 3022, when the municipal secretary at the end of each quarter, sent to the Chief of the Division of Archives of the National Library certified copies of the civil register containing entries of all births and deaths that have occurred during the quarter.

However, the compulsory registration of births and deaths was established by the passage of the Civil Registry Law of 1930, known as Act No. 3753. The duties of the local civil registrars were also transferred to the treasurers in the case of regular municipalities and municipal districts, and health officers and other persons as designated by the charter, in case of cities. This Act specifies that the attendant, i.e. physician, midwife or either parent of the newborn baby has the specific responsibility for the registration of the birth. In the case of death, the physician who attended to the deceased or. in his default, the health officer concerned, or in default of the latter, any member of the family of the deceased or any person having knowledge of the death, shall report the same to the local health authorities, who shall issue a death certificate and shall order the same to be recorded in the office of the local civil registrar. The same Act provided that the events, births and deaths, should be recorded in the municipality where the event occurred and that a copy of these certificates be forwarded to the Civil Registrar-General within the first ten days of each month.

When the Bureau of the Census and Statistics was created on August 19, 1940 by Commonwealth Act No. 591, the functions of the Division of Archives of the National Library on civil registrations were transferred to the Bureau. This made the Director of the Bureau of the Census and Statistics, the Civil Registrar-General of the Philippines.

Previous studies, surveys and estimates on registration completeness

Prior to 1964, there were some known studies, surveys and estimates on the extent of registration in the country.

In 1939, Dr. T. J. Jaramillo² estimated the degree of underregistration of births and deaths to be on the average of 29.2

^{2 &}quot;Journal of Philippine Statistics", Bureau of the Census and Statistics. Vol. 1, No. 3, September, 1941, Manila.

per cent for males and 32.2 per cent for females in case of birth and 14.6 per cent for males and 13.1 per cent for females in the case of death. Although there is no available information which may lead to indicate whether under-registration has declined or not after that date, still there existed a strong suspicion of serious under-registration and this was revealed by more recent studies and estimates.

In 1956, the Department of Health surveyed Nueva Ecija, believed to be a province with relatively good registration, where it estimated under-registration of births at approximately 35 per cent and deaths at 11 per cent.³ A later study of death registration by the same Department in collaboration with Dr. Alvaro Aldama, WHO Consultant Statistician, made in the four (4) Regions in Luzon (Health Regions 1 to 4) estimated under-registration of deaths at 27.3 per cent.⁴ It may be stated that in this survey the cemeteries visited were only those readily accessible and nearest the office of the local civil registrars. If these cemeteries which are near the local civil registrar's office gave evidence of such under-registration of the dead, it is logical to believe from this study that the percentage of under-registration would be higher if they went to cemeteries located in remote barrios.

Father Francis C. Madigan, S. J. of the Research Institute for Mindanao Culture, Xavier University, analyzed birth and death registration completeness for the province of Misamis Oriental and Cagayan de Oro City. He estimated that 59.5 per cent of the provincial deaths were not registered in 1960. He also estimated under-registration of births at 55.4

³ Report by Dr. J. J. Dizen, Chief of the Epideomology and Vital Statistics, Bureau of Health, during the Committee meeting on Demography at the National Economic Council, April 10, 1958, Manila.

⁴ Letter of Dr. J. J. Dizon, Chief, Disease Intelligence Center, Department of Health, Manila to Dr. Eliseo M. Perez, Jr., Assistant Director, Bureau of the Census and Statistics, Manila, dated May 8, 1964, with an attached copy of the survey.

per cent for the province and 58.4 per cent for Cagayan de Oro City.⁵

Under registration is not surprising in this country. The country is composed of more than 7,100 islands and islets. There are many mountains, and roads through these mountains are few. Trails are often long and winding and therefore many barrio people fail to report family births and deaths in the municipal center within the time prescribed by law. In fact, they often do not report these vital events at all. There is lack of transportation facilities in many rural areas thus making it very difficult for people to go to the town.

The important question therefore is not whether births and deaths are under-registered but by how much they are under-registered. Answering this question is one of the functions of this paper.

The October 1964 test on birth and death registration completeness

This survey was conducted as an additional inquiry to the regular Labor Force Survey of October 1964 of the Bureau of the Census and Statistics using the household as the unit of enumeration.

The multi-stage sampling method⁶ was used in selecting the representative cross-section of Philippine households which numbered 7,206. The selected households were scattered over 300 barrios, 150 poblaciones, 58 provincial capitals, chartered cities and metropolitan Manila, which included Caloocan, San Juan, Pasay City, Quezon City, Mandaluyong, Makati and Parañaque.

^{5 &}quot;The Facts of Life in Misamis Oriental", Francis C. Madigan, S. J., Philippine Sociological Review, Vol. 11, Nos. 1-2, January-April, 1963, pp. 106.

^{6 &}quot;The Philippine Statistical Survey of Households", Bulletin Series 1, No. 2 (Manila: Bureau of the Census and Statistics).

Since this survey was conducted as a part of the regular Labor Force Survey, there was no alternative but to make such households (7,206) as were sampled for the Labor Force Survey, the sampling units for this inquiry.

During the survey, the enumerators were instructed to fill out a special block in the questionnaire which was intended to collect information on whether there was a live birth or death that occurred during the period from January to December 1963 within the sample household.

Figure 1 collects all live births including those already dead before the survey but were born within the survey period.

A. LIVE BIRTH (For	every child born during the period from January to December 1963, including one already dead.)
1. Name of child .	
	(First) (Middle) (Last)
2. Sex Limale	(First) (Middle) (Last) [Female 3. Date of birth (Month) (Day) (Year)
4. Place of birth	(a) (Month) (Day) (Year) (Municipality and province/City)
	(Municipality and province/City)
5. Title of attend	(b) [] Hospital [] Clinic [] Health Center [] Home
□ Doctor	□Nurse □Midwife □Hilot □Other(specify)
6. Was this birth	registered? [Yes] No
a. If Yes,	where was the birth registered? (Municipality and province/City)
b. If No,	why was the birth not registered?
7. Name of mother	(maiden name)
8. Name of informa	nt9, Relation to child
10. Verification	of registration (Verify regardless of answers to Q. No. 6.)
	o. Not registered (Registry in sample area)
	lo DNot registered (Records, Civil Reg. General,

Figure 1

Figure 2 collects information for every person who died during the period from January to December 1963.

The enumerators were instructed to verify each event in the office of the Local Civil Registrar to check the answers given by the household to question No. 6 for birth and question

B. D	eath	(For every p	erson who di	ed during the	period from J	anuary to December 1963.)
1.	Name (of deceased.	(First)	(Middle)	(Last)	
2.	Sex	□ MaJ.e	D Penale	3. Age		
4.	Civil	status	D Single	Married	☐ Widowed	Divorced or separated
5.	Usual	occupation.				
			(Month)		(Year)	
7.	Place	of death	(Municip	ality and pro	vince/City)	
8.	Place	of burial _	(Municip	ality and pro	vince/City)	
9.	Ir Ya	e _	gistered?		□ No	
		a. Where was	the death r	egistered?	(Hunicipality	and province/City)
	•	b. Who certi	fied as to t	he cames of	death?	☐Private doctor
			1th Officer	□ Hospit	al authorities	None
	If No		his death no	t registered?		
10						deceased
						rers to Q. Nos. 7 to 9.)
			-			Stry in sample area)
	1	b. L.C.R.No.		_ □ Not re	gistered (Reco	rds, Civil Reg. General;

Figure 2

no. 9 for death occurrences. This verification of registration by the enumerator was not final. This was only the first stage of the matching operation. The schedules were again matched against the birth and death records on file with the Civil Register-General.

The birth schedule (Figure 1) was matched against the birth certificates (Figure 3) and the death schedules (Figure 2) against the death certificates (Figure 4).

Regarding those that were still unmatched at this level, additional inquiries through telegrams were again sent to the Office of the Local Civil Registrars for further matching and final verification. In the case of local civil registrars in Manila and suburbs, personal verifications were made. After this matching operation, each unmatched schedule is then assumed to represent an unregistered event.

100

CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH

							Regi	ster Nu	ubor:			
Pr	ovinca:							(a) Civi	l Regio	tror-Gene	ral No	
Ci								(b) Loca	si Civil	Registr	ar No	
ŧ.,	PLACE OF BU					1	2. Deu	L RESIDEN	ca or Mo	rem (Whe	~ Less med	ser KreD
_ (. Protesta				•		4. P	107 DCC				
_	CITY On M	(COCCUPALITY)	7				N.C	TY OR MU	COP A LATE			
_	address)	HOSPITAL OF	a horrrows	(Il nos i	le Barritel	give etres	a N	U110413 AND	Brezine			
-	L In PLACE	or Burra L	same Core L	EMETE!		Ì	4 1	Ranner	a lasta	CITT A	h Rocan	Co can A FARM
	Ten O	,	No 12			Ì	•	E C	Xo D	1	Tm D	Ø⊕ D
9	S. NAME (T	the es est	3		Fires			Missio			las:	
	4, 5m.	So. Tare B	1270			54. Ir Twa	on Ta	MUT, WM	Calle	4. Dame	Cr Bram	
٦		BPIGLE	U TWN	I True	ATT []	in c	ŽIID (940 1	3	Ment	D	ny Year
e	2 Name	F	ten)	Micde		Lesi	Rest	18036		e, Narson	RALITT	Sa, Bucy
PATER	0. Acre (At this bir Trace		10. Staron	40				ile. Use.	or Occurs	THOU		or Brancian es Es-
9	IS MADE	NAME	Fices	M	&No.	Test	Ras	MICH		IR. NAME	TO ALLEY	the Rice
TOPHER	IL AGE (A	shoo of	IA. Buston	LACTE				18. Pants	our Date	news to b	COTEC	L
٤J	this birt		1							the best)		
٦	Feare		<u> </u>					o. Glow I	BARF.	A Boy	many other	a Rev many fets deaths (fettier
	. Інганііі' , Напра Р							67/7	Eving?	ber:	dres were a silve but new bust	Cinca sirea com
	V DCassi							}				न्दर्भ <u>क्त</u>)?
ia.	L'organe à	AMURA AS	ment (News	ior, Girant	City or 3	(unl-'pallty, P	(writese)					•
ĮQ.						ATTIMDAN		rate				
-			I attended th			obe was kara Liveral	A PA	طلسرو فر	D. CAMBE	DADY AT BO	LTW.	
							\$ 200	D 04 A174	MOLES AT	Barer		
							0	H, D.		D Mari		
_	s, Appr.or:		a or ten Lo					CTANETS			Control B	
85	A. Store		20 GP TEEL LAG	CAL CIVIL	, ILEBATEL	LA DT:	*1. 4.	CHAMB NT	** ************************************	PROPERTY.	LAMACO #	
								DATE WED	- Cres	Hum Vis	BEFOLDER:	
	L Max	i on Parinti									,	
	s, Tittle	on Poste					1					
~	4 Dare	on Postra	od:		91. 97.		<u> </u>			Lorenza		
ca:	4 Dare	on Postra	od:		ell V=	MET AT EMPH			_08	L Lournes		Ro .
_	a, Trea 4. Dave Louers or	PERCHANCE COMPLET	od:				ES. 21		ON P	-,	. 0	Ro .
_	a, Trea 4. Dave Louers or	PERCHANCE COMPLET	od:				ES. 21	BOST AT US	CATE IS P	D Yes .		Be .
_	A Date A Date Length of	PERCHANCE COMPLET	od:	Parente	For lagistic		ES. 21	MANU DI	OB PROSTI	D Yes,	. 0	Re

Brhibis "B"

Figure 3

Evaluation of the 1963 Registration Test

The data collected must be treated with certain limitations in mind because no statistical survey is free from errors and naturally it is to be expected here, too.

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES

CERTIFICATE OF DEATH

			wehizet, tan			
Province			(a) Civil	Registrar-	General Na	
City or Municipality			(b) Local	Civil Regi	istrar No	
1. PLACE OF DRAFE:			denusare (Who	to decessed	tived, If factitution residence	
e. Province		e. Pane	rvca rvca	ere edavission	u.	
8. City on Town	e. Lengts of S	STAY b. CITY	as Town			
d. Full Name or Hearst Institution.	al, en lenterion (if is hospital	or c. Abor.	40 318.81 ca	UABRID		
8. Name of Dechases (Type or print)	o. (Part) d. (Middle)	o. (Leat)	4. PATE	e traces	Day) (Year)	
6. Sax 6. Raco 7. Mass	ien; Peren Marrico; 8. Darn or	Biera	9. ACH	IF UNDER	1 YEAR IF UNDER 24 House	
Wind BAZA	D (Specify)		(2 mm)	(Months)	(Days) (Hours) (Misutes)	
18. c. Usual Occuration tState sature and charac- ter)	18. 4. Gree Erecting Strations 11 ca fractates	i. Birthplace (Pi c. City on Ter b. Province	hlippi nen er fore ern	82 ••• [•](7)	12. Cities of Wolv Congst	
12. Farma's Rams (Write gini	sty in full)	14. Moran	a's Hausen Na	un (Velue pl	cialy in full)	
15, le Manuis, tlaus and di	MARINE OF BUILDING SPOOM	16. larum	a. (Signal b. (Name c. (Addre d. (Rubs)	in Print)	.	
ET. CAUSE OF DEATH	MEDIC	AL CERTIFICAT	TE		INTERVAL SEVERES	
Hater ealy one cause per Res (s), (b) und (s).	I. Duntum en Comstron Deserte.				CHEAT AND DRATE	
•	Anypomisiny Catting	(4)				
"This does not mean the mode of dying, such as heart failure, netherin, ote.	Marbid conditions, if any, giving rise to the above cause (a) stu- ting the underlying cause last,		Dus to (t)			
It magne the discuss in- fary, ar straylisation which caused death	10.0	:			18. Auritmet Yes 21 No 12 (Findings as the healt)	
Ma. Date of Oresation	180. Maron Purnings or Ormatic	*				
Buccion Buccion Blomcion	20h. PLACE OF INJUST (c. g. in or about home, farm, factory, street, office, building, etc.)	a. (Town or Street	ů.	(Clay)	(Province)	
Mid. Timb or laisted (Mo	(Day) (Vest) (Hear)	Yers C	OCCURRED T NOT WHEEL T AT WORK (Diá Luciar Cocust	
attended the deceased i	foregoing particulars are correct so-		to	aired and I	further serify that 2 have/ser	
MAC CONTURED COASSET BY:	12(1)					
	(Signatura)	****				
C) Private Physicia	(Pull name in printed					
D Public Health O	(400100)					
[] Respital authori	ties (Date)					
Che, Buntal, CREMATION, 230, REMOVAL (Specify)	DATE 23e, Name of Campion	Y OR CREMITORY	234. LOCATI	on Pro	riane (City, tewn)	
24. Darm RECEIVED by Lie. Local Civil Regis- TRAN	REGERTRIN'S SIGNATURE (Name to pri	(at) 24h.	BUSIAL PRINCIP PRAISIT PRODU			
1						

Figure 4

The fact that the interviewers or enumerators who performed the inquiries have much experience in this kind of work improved the quality of the data and also reduced the "inteviewer's bias". There were other errors and the possible existence of these errors may be due to the following:

- Incorrect information from the informant. This incorrect information may have contributed to the "misstatement of age-error". The parents may have reported their children who were born before or after the observation period as having been born within the period;
- 2. The "not-at-home error". This is an error which may arise when the enumerator, after several call backs in which he does not find a responsible person at home, finally asks anybody in the household. These respondents may not know the correct name of the child, the date of birth or age of the child and the place of birth of the child.
- 3. The "non-resident error". A child who is temporarily staying with the grandparents or the in-laws. This is usually the cause of misinformation particularly as to the age of the child and other information about the child; and
- 4. The tendency to report the deaths occurring before the observation date.

Analysis of the results

By how much per cent are the births and deaths underregistered in the Philippines?

This survey covered 7,206 households that were asked the following questions: (1) Was there any child born in this household during the period between January and December 1963? (2) Did any person in this household die during the period from January to December 1963? A total of 1228 live births and 229 deaths were recorded from these households. Table 1 shows the distribution by region.

TABLE 1

NUMBER OF SAMPLE HOUSEHOLD, BIRTH AND DEATH
BY REGION

Region	Sample Household	_Recorded Birth	events Death
DHILIDDINES	7.000	1 000	900
PHILIPPINES	7,206	1,228	229
I. Metropolitan Manila	911	161	12 :
II. Ilocos & Mountain Province	476	68	11
III. Cagayan Valley & Batanes	316	65	11
IV. Central Luzon	941	190	27
V. Southern Luzon, Mindoro,			
Marinduque & Palawan	919	181	34
VI. Bicol and Masbate	552	87	16
VII. Western Visayas	997	157	41
VIII. Eastern Visayas	1,042	168	42 ⁻
IX. Southwestern Mindanao	· ·	•	
and Sulu	610	84	13
X. Northeastern Mindanao	442	67	22 [.]

Source: May 1964 Philippine Household Survey, Bureau of the Census and Statistics, Manila.

The per cent registration of birth and death

Final results of the test showed that birth and death registration was 60.3 per cent and 70.0 per cent, respectively, for the whole country. Table 2 shows the percentage registration by region for births and deaths.

Registration completeness by region which registered above the national average are the following:

For birth, Regions 1, II, III, IV, V and VI with percentage registration of 68.3, 61.7, 88.5, 73.8, 65.3 and 66.0 respectively.

For death, Regions III, IV, V, VI and VIII with percentage registration of 90.5, 100.0, 86.0, 84.6 and 78.1 respectively.

TABLE 2
PERCENTAGE REGISTRATION OF BIRTH AND DEATH,
BY REGION

•	Birt	th	De	ath
Region	Re- gistered	Not registered	Re- gistered	
PHILIPPINES	60.3	39.7	70.0	30.0
I. Metropolitan Manila	68.3	31.7	50.0	50.0
II. Ilocos & Mountain Province	61.7	38.3	20.0	80.0
III. Cagayan Valley & Batanes	88.5	11.5	90.0	9.5
IV. Central Luzon	73.8	26.2	95.0	5.0
V. Southern Luzon, Mindoro,		•		
Marinduque & Palawan	65.3	34.7	86.0	14.0
VI. Bicol and Masbate	66.0	34.0	84.6	15.4
VII. Western Visayas	41.7	58.3	69.7	30.3
VIII. Eastern Visayas	54.5	45.5	78.1	21.9
IX. Southwestern Mindanae				•
and Sulu	44.1	55.9	52.4	47.6
X. Northeastern Mindanao	36.7	63.3	22.0	78.0

Source: Computed from the results of the Matching Operation

Birth by place of delivery

The biggest percentage of births recorded were delivered in the home, followed by deliveries in hospitals. Table 3 shows the registration percentage according to the place of delivery.

TABLE 3

PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION AND REGISTRATION OF BIRTH BY PLACE OF DELIVERY

Place of Delivery	Distribution	Registered	Not Registered
PHILIPPINES	100.0	60.3	39.7
Hospital	11.1	73.1	26.9
Clinic	1.5	77.8	22.2
Health Center	. 0.9	82.4	17.6
Home	86.5	58.1	41.9

Source: Computed from the results of the Matching Operation.

It was expected that high under-registration will occur when deliveries are in the homes, but it is sad to note that even deliveries outside the home, especially in hospitals, posed quite a problem insofar as registration is concerned. It might be possible that there are hospital institutions who are still ignorant of their responsibilities with regard to registration. The per cent under-registration is highest in the home with 41.9, followed by hospital, clinic and health center with percent under-registration of 26.9, 22.2 and 17.6 respectively.

Table 4 shows per cent distribution of births by place of delivery, by region.

Birth by attendant

Classified as to the attendant at birth, table 5 shows a much lower percentage of registration among births attended to by hilots (unlicensed midwives) and others than those attended to by the doctors, nurses and licensed midwives.

TABLE 4

PER CENT DISTRIBUTION OF BIRTHS, REGISTERED AND UNREGISTERED BY PLACE OF DELIVERY,
BY REGION, 1963

			All b	irths	
Region	Total	Hospital	Clinic	' Health	Home
PHILIPPINES	100.00	11.09	. 1.49	0.94	86.48
Region I	100.00	60.25	4.35	2.48	32.92
Region II	100.00	5.61	·	_	94.39
Region III	100.00				100.00
Region IV	100.00	2.72	2.38	i ag ija. La	94.90
Region V	100.00	8.03	2.55	2.19	87.23
Region VI	100.00	7.09		2.13	90.78
Region VII	100.00	10.53		0.81	88.66
Region VIII	100.00	8.95	0.78	0.78	89.49
Region IX	100.00	5.93	0.85	_	93.22
Region X	100.00	1.83	2.75	_	95.41
				stered Birth	В
PHILIPPINES	100.00	13.46	1.92	1.28	83.33
Region I	100.00	60.00	5.45	.91	83.64
Region II	100.00	7.58			92.42
Region III	100.00	,			100.00
Region IV	100.00	2.30	3.23		94.47
Region V	100.00	10.06	1.68	3.35	84.92
Region VI	100.00	8.60		3.23	88.17
Region VII	100.00	21.36	****	1.94	76.70
Region VIII	100.00	10.00	1.43	1.43	87.14
Region IX	100.00	13.46	1.92		84.62
Region X	100.00	5.00	5.00		90.00
				istered Birt	
PHILIPPINES	100.00	7.50	.83	.42	91.2
Region I	100.00	60.78	1.96	5.88	31.37
Region II	100.00	2.44			97.5
Region III	100.00				100.00
Region IV	100.00	3.90			96.10
Region V	100.00	4.21	4.21		91.58
Region VI	100.00	4.17			95.83
Region VII	100.00	2.78			97.2
Region VIII	100.00	7.69	·		92.31
Region IX	100.00		** -		100.00
Region X	100.00	-	1.45		98.55

TABLE 5

PER CENT DISTRIBUTION AND REGISTRATION BY ATTENDANT AT BIRTH

Attendant at birth	Distribution	Registered	Not Registered
PHILIPPINES	100.0	60.3	39.7
Doctor	12.1	75.5	24.5
Nurse	3.8	71.0	29.0
Midwife	20.2	79.8	20.2
Hilot and others	63.9	50.6	49.4

Source: Computed from the results of the Matching Operation.

High registration among the professional groups is always expected because they are more aware of the laws governing registration than the hilots and others. However, the same table shows that some of the professionals — doctors, nurses and midwives, also neglect their reponsibilities of registering the births attended to by them. Of the total births, 12.1 per cent were attended to by doctors, 3.8 per cent by nurses, 20.2 by midwives and a very big majority of 63.9 per cent by the hilots and others. Deliveries attended to by hilots and others accounted for relatively low percentage of registration. Most hilots are ignorant of the existing law requiring birth registration while others purposely do not register the births because they might be accused of malpractice of the profession.

Table 6 shows percent distribution of births by attendant at birth, by region.

Table 7 shows the different reasons why some births and deaths are not registered.

PER CENT DISTRIBUTION OF BIRTHS, REGISTERED AND UNREGISTERED BY ATTENDANT AT BIRTH, BY REGION

TABLE 6

				All births		
Region	Total	Doctor	Nurse	Midwife	Hilot	Others
PHILIPPINES	100.00	12.14	3.81	20.20	62.31	1.55
Region I	100.00	60.25	6.21	29.19	4.35	
Region II	100.00	5.61		23.36	54.21	16.82
Region III	100.00	0.96	1.92	14.42	78.85	3.85
Region IV	100.00	7.82	4.76	27.89	59.52	
Region V	100.00	11.31	4.74	22.26	60.95	. 73
Region VI	100.00	8.51	2.13	17.73	71.63	
Region VII	100.00	9.31	4.05	18.62	68.02	
Region VIII	100.00	7.00	3.89	12.06	75.49	1.56
Region IX	100.00	3.39	5.08	19.49	72.03	
Region X	100.00	4.59	.92	10.09	84.40	-
			Reg	istered Bi	rths	
PHILIPPINES	100.00	15.20	4.49	26.74	52.56	1.01
Region I	100.00	62.73	3.64	31.82	1.82	
Region II	100.00	7.58		36.36	54.55	1.52
Region III	100.00	1.09	2.17	16.30	76.09	4.35
Region IV	100.00	9.22	5.53	30.41	54.84	_
Region V	100.00	12.29	7.26	29.61	49.72	1.12
Region VI	100.00	8.60	1.08	21.51	68.82	
Region VII	100.00	20.39	6.80	26.21	46.60	
Region VIII	100.00	7.86	3.57	19.29	66.43	2.86
Region IX	100.00	7.69	9.62	30.77	51.92	_
Region X	100.00	12.50	_	22.50	65.00	_
			Unre	gistered E		
PHILIPPINES	100.00	7.50	2.78	1.0.28	77.08	2.36
Region I	100.00	54.90	11.76	23.53	9.80	
Region II	100.00	2.44		2.44	53.66	41.46
Region III	100.00	*****			100.00	
Region IV	100.00	3.90	2.60	20.78	72.73	
Region V	100.00	9.47	_	8.42	82.11	
Region VI	100.00	8.33	4.17	10.42	77.08	
Region VII	100.00	1.39	2.08	13.19	83.33	. —
Region VIII	100.00	5.98	4.27	3.42	86.32	
Region IX	100.00	'	1.52	10.61	87.88	'
Region X	100.00	•	1.45	2.20	95.65	

TABLE 7

PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF NON-REGISTERED
BIRTH AND DEATH BY REASON

Reason for non-registration	Birth	Death
PHILIPPINES	100.0	100.0
Ignorance	21.2	26.7
Custom	13.1	22.7
Lack of Interest	37.1	42.6
Distance	12.9	
Belief that baptism is registration	12.9	
Forms not available	2.2	
Others		8.0
Not reported	0.6	_

Source: Computed from the results of the October 1964 Survey on Underregistration of births and deaths taken together with the Labor Force Survey, Bureau of the Census and Statistics, Manila.

The very low registration of births and deaths may be attributed more to ignorance, custom and lack of interest among the respondents. In fact, lack of interest was the common cause for non-registration. For birth, it is 37.1 per cent and death, 42.6 per cent. Ignorance and customs constitute 34.3 per cent and 49.4 per cent for births and deaths, respectively. There is still a considerable number of people who believes that baptism is equivalent to registration. This reason constitutes 12.9 per cent of the total births not registered.

Table 8 shows the percent distribution of births, by reason for non-registration, by region, for 1963.

It will be noted that "lack of interest" which was the most common reason for non-registration in the Philippines was significant in all the regions with the exception of Region X.

PER CENT DISTRIBUTION OF UNREGISTERED BIRTHS BY REASON FOR NON-REGISTRATION BY REGION, 1963

TABLE 8

					Reas	Reasons for non-registration			
Region		Unregistered births	Ignorance	Custom	Disinterest	Mistaken belief that baptism is registration	Non-avail- ability of forms	Distance	Not reported
PII	ILIPPINES	100.0	21.23	13.10	37.10	12.90	2.18	12.90	.60
Region	I	100.0	_		100.00		_		
Region	11	100.0	43.24	8.11	32.43		_	16.22	_
Region	III	100.0			100.00	_	_		
Region	IV	100.0	12.50		52.08	4.17		29.17	2.08
Region	\mathbf{v}	100.0	11.76	5.88	58.82			20.59	2.94
Region	VI	100.0	_	_	78.95		15.79	5.26	. —
Region	VII	100.0	22.31	6.61	36.36	18.18	_	16.53	
Region	VIII	100.0	11.63	_	36.05	43.02	_	9.30	
Region	IX	100.0	26.79	32.14	17.86	5.36	14.29	3.57	
Region	x	100.0	39.06	51.56	7.81	1.56			

262

Ignorance, as the next most common reason for non-registration was highest in Region II with 43.24 per cent followed by Region X with 37.06 per cent.

The 3rd reason, namely, custom, was highest in Region.

X with 51.56 per cent. This can be attributed to the presence of a large number of "cultural minorities" in this region.

The mistaken belief that baptism is already registration was a very common reason for non-registration in Region VIII with 43.02 per cent of unregistered birth in the region being ascribed to it.

Summary and Conclusion

The paper describes primarily the extent of under-registration of births and deaths in the Philippines. The final results showed that the under-registration of birth is 39.7 per cent while that of death is 30.0 per cent.

Registration incompleteness is very noticeable in regions where urbanization is slow and the area rugged and mountainous.

Many people are ignorant of the laws governing registration and if they happen to know, they have no interest in registering the events.

Reasons for under-registration have been summed up on the answers received from the sample households and the more significant and typical answers which we got from the respondents were the following:

1. We have no information about registering a newlyborn child.

a to

- 2. Registration of children is not being enforced in this barrio.
- 3. I did not register "due to financial status and so much work".
- 4. I forgot.
- 5. I was lazy to register "due to distance of the municipality".
- 6. Our neighbors do not also register their children.
- 7. I have no time to go to the municipal building.
- 8. I neglected to register.
- 9. We are accustomed not to register our child's birth.
- 10. After baptism we thought the birth was already registered.
- 11. I have no money to pay the registration fee.
- 12. I am not interested because we have already baptismal certificates from the church.
- 13. We are always busy in the farm.
- 14. No forms were available in the office when father went there.